

STANTON – IN – PEAK PARISH COUNCIL: Minutes

Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on 3rd September 2024

At 7:30 pm in Stanton in Peak Village Hall

PRESENT: Cllr S Fogg, Cllr I Mortimore (Chair) Cllr A Mellor and Cllr Ros Griffith

In attendance: Parish Clerk and 3 members of the public

1979 **Apologies**

Council accepted apologies from Cllr P Morris for the meeting, Cllr A Mellor for late arrival at item 1982 and Cllr R Griffith who left after item 1987ii

1980 **Variation of order of business and Clerk's request for inclusion of Time Constrained items**

No variations required. Additional planning items: none

1981 **Declarations of Members Interests**

No declarations were required in respect of this meeting.

1982 **Public Speaking**

a) Members of the public

Representatives of Safer discussed the proposals tabled and were handed copies of the reply from the HSE to DDDCllr Mellstrom on the COMAH report which in HSE speak regards the Ecobat site as of "no risk to people only the environment". The Oxygen plant changes requiring a new Hazardous Substance application for storage and hopes that the new DCC system will log vehicle movements.

Safer have received from Ecobat and circulated copies of an independent noise assessment report carried out by a firm for Ecobat showing that several areas of the plant have worse readings now than 6 years ago - which members of the ELG have not been informed of. The site tree felling programme does not analyse acoustic screening and it was felt that this could be a contributing factor to the problems.

b) PCSO Boswell and DDDCllr Laura Mellstrom sent apologies

PDNPA Parish Member Kath Potter passed a copy of "Conservation in a changing climate" to council for circulating and noted that the video is being recommended for inclusion on Parishes Day. She noted that the new CEO is visiting every parish to engage with residents and Council looks forward to his visit to us. She has received concerns for The Stand and whilst Council has already raised this, it is long enough ago for a new query to be made.

DCCllr Sue Hobson noted the campaign for safe disposal of Vapes and the Nature Recovery Strategy forwarded to councillors by email and also that there is hope that a new road repair technique being currently trialled will address the pothole issues. The County Surveyor informs her that a statement on the Lees Road landslip is due for release imminently. Council asked that the new signage erected at the Birchover end be repeated and concerns were raised from the floor about safety for DofE groups traversing alongside the landslip. She noted a grant for the new croquet club and promised her final £100 towards a replacement Defib for Stanton Lees. Council asked that DCC officers report back on this year's solstice and be open to dialogue especially in light of the changed quarry status as landscaping works are likely to be underway at the road crossing point.

1983 **To confirm the Non-exempt minutes of the previous meeting**

RESOLVED to confirm as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 2nd July 2024 were signed by the Chair.

1984 **To determine which if any from Part 1 of the Agenda should be taken with the public excluded:** none

1985 **Planning Applications** – due to the 28-day return deadline, Council will discuss any applications received between publication of the agenda and the meeting date at this meeting.

i) Decisions received – none.

STANTON – IN – PEAK PARISH COUNCIL: Minutes

ii) **Applications returned due to time constraints:** none

iii) **for Decision – NP/DDD/0824/0805 – Swallow Cottage – Garage and Store**

Council objects to this application on the same grounds as the 2 previous PDNPA rejections and 2 dismissed appeals. The size of this building is disproportionate to the size of dwelling (noted that this is now half of the original building from the previous application) and the move back to the originally proposed site makes it highly visible.

Council notes that the reasonings given for rejection on prominence and size are already explained in previous determinations and lists below the points made that apply to this application:

Swallow Cottage

The size of Swallow Cottage is significantly smaller than in previous applications due to the applicant selling large parts of it. Therefore the proposed building is far larger than the dwelling it serves.

The applicant seeks to move the existing planning permission to a plot that has had two previous applications for similar sized buildings refused, both by the PDNP and at appeal, due to size and positioning.

Application 05/17

Refused due to -

- “physical separation from Swallow Cottage”
- “isolated building that would look out of place in its landscape setting”
- “it would appear as a dominant and discordant feature”
- “have a significant harmful impact on the character and appearance of the area and on its special qualities”

Appeal 08/01/18

Dismissed due to –

- “would resemble that of a commercial building rather than a domestic garage”
- “it could not reasonably be described as being subservient to Swallow Cottage”
- “the building would be seen to dominate an otherwise attractive landscape”
- “the proposal would introduce an overly large building to a site which is currently free from built development and the resulting effect would harm the character and appearance of the local area”

Application 05/18

Refused due to –

- “physical separation from Swallow Cottage”
- “it would appear as a dominant and discordant feature”
- “the building would have a significant harmful impact on the character and appearance of the area and on its special qualities”

Appeal 01.10.18

Dismissed due to –

- “development would introduce a large and visually isolated building into a currently open and undeveloped plot”
- “overall height means that it would not be seen as a subservient or complementary domestic building”
- “the development would cause unacceptable harm to the character and appearance of the area”
- “the relationship between the development and host dwelling, its elevated position and its overall scale to be harmful to a sensitive landscape”

Re Beighton Lodge comparisons.

Inspector pointed to “key differences”

- “less conspicuous from longer distances”
- “The relationship between buildings is also different to the appeal site”
- “While detached, the permitted building would appear more closely related to existing buildings”

Council considers that the granted application in its sheltered position and scale already addresses the issues and is the best possible for the site.

STANTON – IN – PEAK PARISH COUNCIL: Minutes

iv) Planning updates/issues:

Tonnage sheets have been received for loads out meeting movement conditions.
DCC Planning for Ecobat – no information
Items for clarification with PDNPA: none
Items for clarification with DDDC: none

1986 Footpaths and Highways

Highways – Clerk to right to the owners of The Stand to ask for an update on plans for its stabilisation. A query about the highway status of a temporary track to the rear of Ecobat needs asking of planners. Signage for the Stanton Lees end of the landslip needs review and replacement by DCC. It was noted that potholes have been filled and then spray coated – none to report currently.

1987 Reports and Decisions

i. Safer residents survey

Council supports the draft presented and the inclusion of an online version for those preferring that route and looks forward to being copied in on the results.

ii. Safer proposals to ELG representation

Council recognises that the improved attendance to this meeting by all statutory bodies has created a situation where the administration has reached a level where it needs more time and perhaps its own secretary. As this meeting is hosted elsewhere, Council whilst sympathetic to the Safer proposals can only work within the existing framework. It agreed that the chair of the committee be approached by our representatives to see if the hosts would be amenable to suggestions that the higher echelons of public service or the company might be better placed to provide a secretarial service to the meeting.

iii. Ecobat Resources Liaison meeting

Noise attenuation – Council is in correspondence with the MD of Ecobat and was pleased to note his prompt replies and investigation of the issues raised. This has highlighted the gap in public perception that nothing takes place as no follow up is received after issue of an incident number by the complainant whereas in fact an investigation has been carried out and Council hopes a short follow up reply to the complainant will become standard practice in future.

iv. The Green and Parish Assets – Stanton Lees Defibrillator

The defibrillator is out of action and CHT note that being 9 years old will not be under any warranty for repair. A new Defibrillator needs fund raising for – a replacement unit that uses the existing pads and battery is £795.00 net. Clerk to approach local funders to see if there is money available as grant processes are lengthy and you cannot spend the money up front.

v. War Memorial Cleaning

The funds raised are to be spent on the cleaning of the memorial at the end of this month. It will then be assessed for any re-lettering required and funds raised for this separately. Council holds £1929.99 of donations towards the cleaning phase.

vi. Stanton Moor Solstice

The police are to be asked for a written debrief and made aware of the quarrying changes following a call the day after our last PC meeting.

STANTON – IN – PEAK PARISH COUNCIL: Minutes

1988 Items for information and DALC (already circulated by email)

DALC Circulars/briefings; PDNPA Bulletins (Climate Change Survey, Parishes day attendance)

1989 Finance

Resolved to authorise the following:

(a) Accounts for Payment

| Cheque No | Net | Vat | |
|-----------|------------------------------|-----|---------|
| | UB EON | | £22.67 |
| | UB HM Lovell (Sept salary) | | £301.45 |
| | Ddr NEST (Sept) | | £22.31 |
| | UB SiPPCC mowing grant | | £650.00 |
| | Ub HM Lovell (expenses Sept) | | £24.72 |
| | Ddr D Bacon mowing August | | £152.00 |
| | UB EON green electricity | | £22.30 |
| | Ddr Unity charges ¼ | | £18.00 |
| | UB HM Lovell (Oct salary) | | £301.45 |
| | Ddr NEST (OCT) | | £22.31 |

(b) Income – Interest £29.22 War memorial fund £30.00

c) Budget Appraisal/Risk Assessment

Current balance at 30th August £6724.39

Savings Account at 30th August £4290.81

External audit completed. Bank change of Telephone number letter authorised. A discussion on council reserves noted the expected small overspend by year end due to additional mowing and that £2000+ are ring fenced for the War Memorial. No own financed projects were included for in the current precept.

1990 Date of next meeting –. Tuesday 19th November in Stanton Lees Chapel

21st January SiPVH, 11th March SLC, 13th May SiPVH

PART II – CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

none

There being no further business the meeting closed at 9:41 pm